



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/699,236

10/30/2003

Kengo Ochi

9976-21US (OB0049US)

3362

570

7590

04/28/2010

PANITCH SCHWARZE BELISARIO & NADEL LLP
ONE COMMERCE SQUARE
2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

HUNTSINGER, PETER K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/28/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@panitchlaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/699,236	Applicant(s) OCHI, KENGO	
	Examiner Peter K. Huntsinger	Art Unit 2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-25, 27-35 and 38-53 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-25, 27-35 and 38-53 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-25, 27-35 and 38-53 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 23-25, 27-35, 38-50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
4. Claim 41 states "wherein the display control information obtains the display information corresponding to the statuses." It is unclear how information can obtain information, and therefore claim 41 is indefinite.
5. Claim 45 states in lines 10-12 "said display information comprising a plurality of icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus, the display information being stored in the client terminal without being displayed." Lines 16-18 of the claim state "whereupon the client terminal displays, based on the identification data, the one of the plurality of previously stored icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus." The icons cannot be both "stored in the client terminal without being displayed", and displayed by the client terminal, and therefore claim 45 is indefinite. Claim 48 contains similar language.

Art Unit: 2625

6. Claims 47, 50 and 53 recites the limitation "the ordinary status icon, the slight fault status icon and the grave fault status icon" in lines 3 and 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 23-25, 27-35 and 38-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roosen Publication 2002/0036793, in further view of Maki Patent 7,293,067.

Referring to **claims 23 and 39**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the display control information for controlling the display of a status of the data processing apparatus is assigned identification data corresponding to that status (Fig. 3, page 3, paragraph 47-48, displays printer name).

Referring to **claims 24 and 40**, Roosen '793 discloses a status is displayed by selecting display information associated with the identification data relating to that status, and controlling the display of the status using the display control information (page 6, paragraph 104, a specific printer may be selected and information corresponding to that printer will be provided).

Referring to **claim 25**, Roosen '793 discloses a status is displayed with its identification data (page 6, paragraph 104, device statuses frame presents statuses of all printers).

Referring to **claim 27**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the data processing apparatus stores information relating to its status (page 6, paragraph 109, web server sends status information to the workstation [the status information must be stored before it can be sent]).

Referring to **claim 28**, Roosen '793 discloses status update information is determined when the status of the data processing apparatus changes (page 4, paragraph 56, workstation notified at the time that a change of printer status occurs).

Referring to **claim 29**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the client terminal stores information relating to the status of the data processing apparatus (page 6, paragraph 109, browser of workstation receives status from web server [received status must be stored before it is displayed]).

Referring to **claim 30**, Roosen '793 discloses the client terminal compares stored status information with status update information received from the data processing apparatus in response to the subsequent data request in order to determine the updated status (page 3, paragraph 44, mode information is dynamic).

Referring to **claim 31**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the data processing apparatus stores update interval information for controlling an interval for the transmission of status update information (page 6, paragraph 109, browser asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals).

Referring to **claim 32**, Roosen '793 discloses status requests are provided to the data processing apparatus at an interval based on the update interval information (page 6, paragraph 109, browser asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals).

Referring to **claims 33 and 42**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the data processing apparatus is an image forming apparatus (printer 200 of Fig. 2c, page 1-2, paragraph 19) (page 7, paragraph 111, web server can be built into each printer).

Referring to **claims 34 and 43**, Roosen '793 discloses the status of the data processing apparatus indicates that it is able to perform a print function, or that there is an error (page 4, paragraph 64, display states of the printer including idle and error).

Referring to **claims 35 and 44**, Roosen '793 discloses status information, but does not indicate expressly indicating an error type.

Maki '067 discloses the status information indicates an error type (col. 8, lines 10-40, icon information indicates an operated state (ready), a paper shortage state (no paper), and a jam occurrence state (paper jammed) as shown in FIG. 9).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to indicate an error type. The motivation for doing so would have been to notify a user of the cause of a printer error so that corrective measures could be taken. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to combine Maki '067 with Roosen '793 to obtain the invention as specified in claims 35 and 44.

Referring to **claim 38**, Roosen '793 discloses means for generating status update information when the status of the apparatus changes (page 4, paragraph 56, workstation notified at the time that a change of printer status occurs).

Referring to **claim 41**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the display control information obtains the display information corresponding to the statuses (Fig. 3, page 3, paragraph 47-48, displays a symbol indicating the status of the printer).

Referring to **claims 45 and 48**, Roosen '793 discloses a method of controlling the display on a client terminal of a status of a data processing apparatus (PR of Fig. 1, pages 1-2, paragraph 19) connected to the client terminal (WS of Fig. 1, page 1-2, paragraph 19) via a network comprising:

transmitting a first request for display control information to the data processing apparatus (page 6, paragraph 109, browser of workstation asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals from web server);

providing the display control information to the client terminal in response to the first request and thereafter storing the display control information (page 6, paragraph 99, web server dynamically prepares a web page containing the request information and sends the prepared web page to the requesting browser of a workstation);

transmitting a second request for display information to the data processing apparatus based on the stored display control information (page 6, paragraph 109, browser of workstation asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals from web server [subsequent request]);

providing the display information from the data processing apparatus to the client terminal in response to the second request, the display information being stored in the client terminal without being displayed (page 6, paragraph 99-100, web server

dynamically prepares a set of web pages containing the request information and sends the prepared web pages to the requesting browser of a workstation);

transmitting a third request to the data processing apparatus subsequent to storing the display information, wherein in response to the third status request, the data processing apparatus transmits identification data representative of the status of the data processing apparatus to the client terminal, whereupon the client terminal displays, based on the identification data, the one of the plurality of previously stored icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus (Fig. 12, page 5, paragraphs 90-93, monitor icons indicate status of the printers including active and error states).

Roosen '793 does not disclose expressly providing display information from the data processing apparatus comprising a plurality of icons.

Maki '067 discloses providing display information from the data processing apparatus to the client terminal, said display information comprising a plurality of icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus (col. 17, lines 1-14, the color LBP 101 can transmit response packets including icon information to the client 111).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide icons from the data processing apparatus to the client apparatus. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent requiring icon information to be installed beforehand on each client. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2625

obvious at the time of the invention to combine Maki '067 with Roosen '793 to obtain the invention as specified in claims 45 and 48.

Referring to **claims 46, 49 and 52**, Roosen '793 discloses status information, but does not indicate expressly indicating an error type.

Maki '067 discloses wherein the display information comprises an ordinary status icon, a slight fault status icon and a grave fault status icon (col. 8, lines 10-40, icon information indicates an operated state (ready), a paper shortage state (no paper), and a jam occurrence state (paper jammed) as shown in FIG. 9).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to indicate an error type. The motivation for doing so would have been to notify a user of the cause of a printer error so that corrective measures could be taken. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to combine Maki '067 with Roosen '793 to obtain the invention as specified in claims 46, 49 and 52.

Referring to **claims 47, 50 and 53**, Roosen '793 discloses wherein the data processing apparatus transmits a status information updating frame after transmitting a non-displayed status information storing frame, wherein the client terminal constructs a frame from the status information storing frame and the status information updating frame (page 6, paragraph 99-100, web server dynamically prepares a set of web pages containing the request information with fill in boxes and sends the prepared web pages to the requesting browser of a workstation).

Maki '067 discloses wherein the data processing apparatus transmits display information for the ordinary status icon, the slight fault status icon and the grave fault

Art Unit: 2625

status icon (col. 8, lines 10-40, icon information indicates an operated state (ready), a paper shortage state (no paper), and a jam occurrence state (paper jammed) as shown in FIG. 9).

Referring to **claim 51**, Roosen '793 discloses a data processing apparatus (PR of Fig. 1, pages 1-2, paragraph 19) which communicates with a client terminal, the data processing apparatus (WS of Fig. 1, page 1-2, paragraph 19) comprising:

means for storing display control information, said display control information for controlling the client terminal to display the status of the data processing apparatus (page 6, paragraph 99, web server dynamically prepares a web page containing the request information and sends the prepared web page to the requesting browser of a workstation);

means for storing status information (page 6, paragraph 109, web server sends status information to the workstation [the status information must be stored before it can be sent]); and

means for communicating with the client terminal (page 1-2, paragraph 19, printers includes a connection unit);

wherein the communication means is arranged to provide display control information to the client terminal in response to a first request (page 6, paragraph 109, browser of workstation asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals from web server), and to provide status update information to the client terminal in response to a third request, the status update information being provided subsequent to providing the

Art Unit: 2625

display information (page 6, paragraph 109, browser of workstation asks for updated frames at predetermined intervals from web server), and

wherein the status update information comprises identification data representative of the status of the data processing apparatus, and the client terminal displays, based on the provided identification data, the one of the plurality of previously stored icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus (Fig. 12, page 5, paragraphs 90-93, monitor icons indicate status of the printers including active and error states).

Roosen '793 does not disclose expressly providing display information from the data processing apparatus comprising a plurality of icons.

Maki '067 discloses providing display information comprising a plurality of icons representative of the status of the data processing apparatus to the client terminal in response to a second request (col. 17, lines 1-14, the color LBP 101 can transmit response packets including icon information to the client 111);

wherein the display information comprising the plurality of icons is stored in the client terminal upon being provided by the communications means (col. 17, lines 1-14, the color LBP 101 can transmit response packets including icon information to the client 111).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide icons from the data processing apparatus to the client apparatus. The motivation for doing so would have been to prevent requiring icon information to be installed beforehand on each client. Therefore, it would have been

Art Unit: 2625

obvious at the time of the invention to combine Maki '067 with Roosen '793 to obtain the invention as specified in claim 51.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter K. Huntsinger whose telephone number is (571)272-7435. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)-272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter K. Huntsinger/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

/David K Moore/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625